arXiv:1406.3429v6 [math.AG] 29 Feb 2016

Finite subsemigroups of free left regular bands

Artem N. Shevlyakov

March 1, 2016

Abstract

We describe all finite subsemigroups of free left regular bands. Moreover, we show applications of this result in algebraic geometry and model theory.

1 Introduction

A semigroup is a left regular band if it satisfies the identities $x^2 = x$, $xyx = xy$. Left regular bands have important applications in hyperplane arrangements, random walks and matroid theory, see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. In the current paper we describe all finite subsemigroups of free left regular bands (Theorems 6.1 and 6.2).

Actually, our proof is not short and easy. For example, we had to introduce about six partial orders over left regular bands (remark that all our orders are not compatible with the multiplication over left regular bands).

Let us give the plan of our paper. The first tree sections contain all necessary definitions and simple statements devoted to left regular bands and semilattices. In particular, we give here the important definitions of local linear order and right hereditary property. In Section 5 we prove (Theorem 5.4) that any finite subband of a free left regular band has a local linear order. Thus, the local linear order is the necessary condition of the embeddability into free left regular bands.

In Section 6 we prove that any right hereditary left regular band S with a local linear order is embeddable into a free left regular band of an appropriate rank. Firstly, we define a map $h\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a free left regular band of infinite rank, and prove (Lemma 6.9) that h is a homomorphism. However, h may be not injective. Therefore, in Subsection 6.2 we define a procedure which takes h and obtains an embedding h' after a finite number of iterations. Thus, the embedding h' proves the main result of our paper (Theorem 6.1).

In the last section of our paper we talk about open problems and possible applications of Theorem 6.1. Moreover, we discuss how to simplify the proof of Theorem 6.1 and why we do not do it.

2 Semilattices

Below we shall consider join semilattices, and denote multiplication by $\cup$. Elements of semilattices are denoted below by bold letters $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \dots$

One can define a partial order over any join semilattice by

$$\mathbf{x} \subseteq \mathbf{y} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{y} \cup \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}. \tag{1}$$

Let M be a set, and $\mathbf{F}_M$ be the family of all finite subsets (including $\emptyset$) of M. Let us define over $\mathbf{F}_M$ a multiplication as a set union. Obviously, $\mathbf{F}_M$ is isomorphic

to the join free semilattice of rank $|M|$ with the adjoined identity. We denote the free basis of $\mathbf{F}_M$ by $\{\mathbf{a}_m | m \in M\}$. Sometimes elements of $\mathbf{F}_M$ (free generators $\mathbf{a}_m$) will be called *words* (respectively, *letters*). By $\mathbf{a}_m \in \mathbf{c}$ we shall denote that a letter $\mathbf{a}_m$ belongs to a word $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{F}_M$.

For any $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{F}_M$ one can define $\mathbf{c} \setminus \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{F}_M$ as the set difference. The *length* $|\mathbf{a}|$ of $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{F}_M$ is the length of a word representing $\mathbf{a}$. Let $\mathbf{F}$ denote the free semilattice generated by an infinite set of generators $\{\mathbf{a}_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\}$.

Take a finite join semilattice L. According to semilattice theory, L is embeddable into a free semilattice of an appropriate rank. The following embedding will play a crucial role in our study.

Let us define a map $\nu \colon L \to \mathbf{F}_L$ by

$$\nu(\mathbf{x}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \not\subseteq \mathbf{t}} \mathbf{a}_\mathbf{t}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *ν is an embedding of L into $\mathbf{F}_L$.*

Proof. First, we prove that ν is a homomorphism of L. Let us take $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \cup \mathbf{y}$. We have

$$\nu(\mathbf{x}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \not\subseteq \mathbf{t}} \mathbf{a}_\mathbf{t}, \ \nu(\mathbf{y}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{y} \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{a}_\mathbf{r},$$

$$\nu(\mathbf{z}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{z} \not\subseteq \mathbf{p}} \mathbf{a}_\mathbf{p}.$$

It is sufficient to prove

$$\{\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x} \not\subseteq \mathbf{t}\} \cup \{\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{y} \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}\} = \{\mathbf{p} | \mathbf{z} \not\subseteq \mathbf{p}\}.$$

The relation $\mathbf{x} \not\subseteq \mathbf{t}$ ($\mathbf{y} \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}$) implies $\mathbf{z} \not\subseteq \mathbf{t}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{z} \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}$). Hence,

$$\{\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x} \not\subseteq \mathbf{t}\} \cup \{\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{y} \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}\} \subseteq \{\mathbf{p} | \mathbf{z} \not\subseteq \mathbf{p}\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{z} \not\subseteq \mathbf{p}$. If $\mathbf{x} \subseteq \mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{y} \subseteq \mathbf{p}$ then $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \cup \mathbf{y} \subseteq \mathbf{p}$, a contradiction. Therefore, either $\mathbf{x} \not\subseteq \mathbf{p}$ or $\mathbf{y} \not\subseteq \mathbf{p}$. Thus, we obtained

$$\{\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x} \not\subseteq \mathbf{t}\} \cup \{\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{y} \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}\} \supseteq \{\mathbf{p} | \mathbf{z} \not\subseteq \mathbf{p}\}.$$

Second, we prove that ν is an embedding.

Suppose that $F_\mathbf{x} = F_\mathbf{y}$ for some distinct $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$, where

$$F_\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x} \not\subseteq \mathbf{t}\}, \ F_\mathbf{y} = \{\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{y} \not\subseteq \mathbf{r}\}.$$

Since $\mathbf{x} \notin F_\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y} \notin F_\mathbf{y}$, we have $\mathbf{x} \notin F_\mathbf{y}$, $\mathbf{y} \notin F_\mathbf{x}$. It follows that $\mathbf{x} \subseteq \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y} \subseteq \mathbf{x}$, hence $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.

□

By the definition of ν, we have the next statement.

Lemma 2.2. *If $\nu(\mathbf{x})$ does not contain a letter $\mathbf{a}_\mathbf{y}$, then $\mathbf{x} \subseteq \mathbf{y}$ in L.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}' \in L$, $\mathbf{x} \subset \mathbf{x}'$, $\mathbf{y} \subset \mathbf{y}'$ and*

$$d(\mathbf{x}') = \nu(\mathbf{x}') \setminus \nu(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \nu(\mathbf{y}') \setminus \nu(\mathbf{y}) = d(\mathbf{y}').$$

Then $\mathbf{y} \subseteq \mathbf{x}$.

Moreover, the equality $d(\mathbf{x}') = d(\mathbf{y}')$ implies $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{y}'$.

Proof. By the definition of ν, $\nu(\mathbf{x})$ does not contain $\mathbf{a_x}$. However $\mathbf{x} \subset \mathbf{x}'$ implies $\mathbf{a_x} \in \nu(\mathbf{x}')$. Hence,

$$\mathbf{a_x} \in d(\mathbf{x}') \Rightarrow \mathbf{a_x} \in d(\mathbf{y}') \Rightarrow \mathbf{a_x} \notin \nu(\mathbf{y}).$$

By Lemma 2.2, the last expression gives $\mathbf{y} \subseteq \mathbf{x}$.

Suppose $d(\mathbf{x}') = d(\mathbf{y}')$. By above, it follows $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ and therefore $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{y}'$. □

3 Left regular bands

A semigroup S is a *left regular band* if the next identities

$$x^2 = x,\ xyx = xy$$

hold in S.

Remark that we always consider left regular bands with the identity ε adjoined.

By $\mathcal{F}$ we denote the free left regular of infinite rank. The elements of $\mathcal{F}$ are all words w in an infinite alphabet $\{a_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ such that any letter a_i occurs at most one time in w. The product of two elements $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ is defined as follows:

$$w_1 w_2 = w_1 \circ (w_2)^{\exists},$$

where $\circ$ is the word concatenation and the operator $\exists$ is the deletion of all letters which occur earlier. For example, $(a_1a_2a_3)(a_2a_3a_4) = a_1a_2a_3a_4$.

Below elements of $\mathcal{F}$ (free generators a_i) are called *words* (respectively, *letters*), and we shall use the denotation $a_i \in w$ if a letter a_i belongs to a word $w \in \mathcal{F}$.

Remark that in our denotations free generators of the semilattice $\mathbf{F}$ and the left regular band $\mathcal{F}$ are indexed by the common set $\mathcal{I}$.

For elements x, y of a left regular band S one can define a relation

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow xy = y.$$

It is easy to check that $\leq$ is a partial order over S. Remark that in some papers (e.g. see [4]) $\leq$ defines the contrary relation: $yx = x$.

For elements $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ the relation $x \leq y$ means that the word x is a prefix of y.

The next lemma contains simple statements about the structure of free left regular bands $\mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 3.1.

1. *The Hasse diagram of the order $\leq$ is a tree for $\mathcal{F}$. The root of this tree is the identity ε. For example, the Hasse diagram for the free left regular band generated by three elements a_1, a_2, a_2 is*



Fig. 1

2. *Let $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, $x_i \le y_i$ and x_1, x_2 are not $\le$-comparable. Then y_1, y_2 are not $\le$-comparable.*

Define the another relation on elements of a left regular band S by

$$x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow yx = y.$$

This relation is reflexive and transitive, but not necessarily antisymmetric.

For a free left regular band $\mathcal{F}$ the relation $x \preceq y$ means that the word y contains all letters of a word x. For example, $a_1a_2 \preceq a_2a_1$, $a_2 \preceq a_1a_2a_3$. Obviously, the relation $x \le y$ implies $x \preceq y$ in any left regular band.

We shall write $x \approx y$ iff $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq x$. One can get a join semilattice L by identifying x and y if $x \approx y$. Let $\sigma\colon S \to L$ denote the quotient map. L is called the *support semilattice* of S and for all $x, y \in S$ we have

$$\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x) \cup \sigma(y).$$

It is easy to see that for any $s \in \mathcal{F}$ the map $\sigma(s)$ gets the set of all letters occurring in s. Thus, we obtain

$$\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{F},$$

where $\sigma(a_i) = \mathbf{a}_i$ $(i \in \mathcal{I})$.

Let us define a linear order $<^{\mathcal{F}}$ over the semilattice $\mathcal{F}$ as follows. We have $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n$, where $L_n = \{a \in \mathcal{F} \mid\ |\sigma(a)| = n\}$ and each set L_n has a linear order $<_{L_n}$. Thus, we put

$$a <^{\mathcal{F}} b \Leftrightarrow |\sigma(a)| < |\sigma(b)| \text{ or } a <_{L_n} b \text{ for } a, b \in L_n.$$

Notice that $x \prec y$ implies $x <^{\mathcal{F}} y$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let us define a map $\overrightarrow{()}\colon \mathbf{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ as follows. Let $\{\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \mathbf{a}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_n}\}$ be the set of all letters of a word $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{F}$. The letters of $\mathbf{a}$ correspond to free generators $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and the order $<^{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by

$$a_{i_1} <^{\mathcal{F}} a_{i_2} <^{\mathcal{F}} \dots <^{\mathcal{F}} a_{i_n}.$$

Then the word $\overrightarrow{(\mathbf{a})} \in \mathcal{F}$ is the product

$$a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_n}.$$

Roughly speaking, $\overrightarrow{(\mathbf{a})}$ replaces the letters of $\mathbf{a}$ to the corresponding generators of $\mathcal{F}$ and sorts them in the given order $<^{\mathcal{F}}$.

Following [4], a left regular band S is called *right hereditary* if the Hasse diagram of $\le$ is a tree with the root element ε. By Lemma 3.1, any free left regular band is right hereditary.

Let x, y, z be elements of a left regular band S, and the equivalence relation $\sim_z$ be as follows:

$$x \sim_z y \Leftrightarrow xz = yz.$$

Clearly, the relation $x \le y$ is equivalent to $x \sim_y y$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let S, T be left regular bands. Suppose a map $h\colon S \to T$ preserves the relations $\preceq$, $\sim_c$ for each $c \in S$, i.e. for any $a, b, c \in S$ we have:*

$$a \preceq b \Rightarrow h(a) \preceq h(b),$$

$$a \sim_c b \Rightarrow h(a) \sim_{h(c)} h(b).$$

Then h is a homomorphism.

Proof. Let us prove $h(xy) = h(x)h(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Denote $z = xy$, hence $zy = xy$ and $z \sim_y x$. By the condition, $h(z)h(y) = h(x)h(y)$. Since $y \preceq z$, we have $h(y) \preceq h(z)$ and obtain $h(z) = h(x)h(y)$. □

Example 3.3. Let us define a right hereditary band $\mathcal{B} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_0, y_1, y_2\}$ defined by the following multiplication table (the identity ε is omitted):

	x_1	x_2	x_3	y_0	y_1	y_2
x_1	x_1	y_0	y_1	y_0	y_1	y_1
x_2	x_2	x_2	y_2	x_2	y_2	y_2
x_3	x_3	x_3	x_3	x_3	x_3	x_3
y_0	y_0	y_0	y_1	y_0	y_1	y_1
y_1	y_1	y_1	y_1	y_1	y_1	y_1
y_2	y_2	y_2	y_2	y_2	y_2	y_2

The order $\leq$ over $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by the following Hasse diagram:



Fig. 2

The support semilattice of $\mathcal{B}$ is a linear ordered semilattice $1 < 2 < 3 < 4$, where

$$\sigma(\varepsilon) = 1,\ \sigma(x_1) = 2,\ \sigma(x_2) = \sigma(y_0) = 3,\ \sigma(x_3) = \sigma(y_1) = \sigma(y_2) = 4.$$

The embedding ν gives:

$$\nu(\sigma(x_1)) = \mathbf{a}_1,\ \nu(\sigma(x_2)) = \nu(\sigma(y_0)) = \mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2,\ \nu(\sigma(x_3)) = \nu(\sigma(y_1)) = \nu(\sigma(y_2)) = \mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_3.$$

Thus, ν embeds $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ into $\mathbf{F}$.

The multiplication over $\mathcal{B}$ generates the following equivalence classes:

x	Equivalence classes of $\sim_x$
x_1	$\{\varepsilon, x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{y_2\}, \{y_0\}, \{y_1\}, \{x_3\}$
x_2	$\{\varepsilon, x_2\}, \{x_1, y_0\}, \{x_3\}, \{y_1\}, \{y_2\}$
x_3	$\{\varepsilon, x_3\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_1, y_0, y_1\}$
y_0	$\{\varepsilon, x_1, y_0\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}, \{y_2\}, \{y_1\}$
y_1	$\{\varepsilon, x_1, y_0, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_3\}$
y_2	$\{\varepsilon, x_2, y_2\}, \{x_3\}, \{x_1, y_0, y_1\}$

□

4 Properties of right hereditary bands

Below S is a right hereditary band and $L = \sigma(S)$. The following lemma describes the main property of left regular bands.

Lemma 4.1. *The equality $x_1x_2 = x_3x_4$ ($x_i \in S$) implies $x_1 \leq x_3$ or $x_3 \leq x_1$.*

Proof. Let $x = x_1x_2 = x_3x_4$ and we have $x_1 \leq x$, $x_3 \leq x$. Since the Hasse diagram of the order $\leq$ is a tree, the elements x_1, x_3 should be $\leq$-comparable. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be a right hereditary band and $x, y, z \in S$. Then $x \leq y \leq xz$ or $y \leq x \leq yz$ iff $x \sim_z y$.*

Proof. Let us prove the "only if" part of the lemma. Let $x \leq y \leq xz$. By the definition of the order $\leq$ we have:

$$xy = y,\ yxz = xz.$$

Therefore,

$$(xy)xz = xz \Leftrightarrow (xy)z = xz \Leftrightarrow yz = xz \Leftrightarrow x \sim_z y.$$

Let us prove the "if" part of the lemma. Since S is right hereditary, the relation $xz = yz$ implies either $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$. So

$$x \leq y \leq yz = xz \ \text{ or } y \leq x \leq xz = yz.$$

□

Since the Hasse diagram of the order $\leq$ is a tree with the root ε, it allows us to define *the ancestor* $\alpha(s) \in S$ for any $s \neq \varepsilon$ as follows:

$$\alpha(s) = \max\{x \in S | x < s\}.$$

An element s' with $\alpha(s') = s$ is called *a descendant* of s.

For any $c \neq \varepsilon$ let us define a set $S_c \subseteq S$ by

$$S_c = \{s \in S \setminus \{\varepsilon\} | s \sim_c \alpha(s), s \nsim_{c'} \alpha(s) \text{ for any } c' < c\} \tag{2}$$

Notice that $S_c \neq \emptyset$, since $c \in S_c$.

Example 4.3. For the left regular band $\mathcal{B}$ we have: $S_{x_1} = \{x_1\}$, $S_{x_2} = \{x_2, y_0\}$, $S_{x_3} = \{x_3, y_0, y_1, y_2\}$, $S_{y_0} = \{y_0\}$, $S_{y_1} = \{y_1, y_2\}$, $S_{y_2} = \{y_1, y_2\}$. □

Lemma 4.4. *For any $x \in S_b$, $a < b$ we have $a \preceq \alpha(x)$.*

Proof. By the condition, $a \leq \alpha(b) < b$.

By Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\alpha(x) \leq x \leq \alpha(x)b.$$

Also we have

$$\alpha(x) \leq \alpha(x)a \leq \alpha(x)ab = \alpha(x)b.$$

Since the Hasse diagram of $\leq$ is a tree, the first chain above should contain $\alpha(x)a$.

If $\alpha(x) \leq x \leq \alpha(x)a$ Lemma 4.2 gives $\alpha(x) \sim_a x$ that contradicts the definition of the set S_b. Thus,

$$\alpha(x) \leq \alpha(x)a < x.$$

By the definition of the ancestor, it holds $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x)a$, hence $a \preceq \alpha(x)$.

□

Lemma 4.5. *For all $x, y \in S_c$ the elements $\alpha(x)\alpha(y)x$, $\alpha(x)\alpha(y)y$ are $\leq$-comparable.*

Proof. By the definition of S_c, we have $\alpha(x)c = xc$, $\alpha(y)c = yc$. Let us multiply both equalities by $\alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ and obtain

$$\alpha(x)\alpha(y)c = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)xc,\ \alpha(x)\alpha(y)c = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)yc.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha(x)\alpha(y)xc = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)yc.$$

Since S is right hereditary, the prefixes $\alpha(x)\alpha(y)x$, $\alpha(x)\alpha(y)y$ are $\leq$-comparable. □

Let $s \in S \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ and denote

$$\delta_\nu(s) = \nu(\sigma(s)) \setminus \nu(\sigma(\alpha(s))) \in \mathbf{F}_L. \tag{3}$$

A band S has a *local linear order* if for each b the set S_b admits a linear order $\sqsubset_b$ such that for all $x, y \in S_b$ it holds:

1.
$$\delta_\nu(x) \subset \delta_\nu(y) \Rightarrow x \sqsubset_b y; \tag{4}$$

2.
$$\sigma(x) \subseteq \sigma(\alpha(y)) \Rightarrow x \sqsubset_b y. \tag{5}$$

3. for arbitrary $S_c \supseteq S_b$ we have:
$$x \sqsubset_b y \Rightarrow x \sqsubset_c y. \tag{6}$$

5 Finite subbands of $\mathcal{F}$

Below in this section we assume $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $|S| < \infty$, $L = \sigma(S) \subseteq \mathbf{F}$ and ν embeds L into $\mathbf{F}_L$. Let $\{w\}$ denote the set of all letters of a word $w \in \mathcal{F}$. By the properties of $\mathcal{F}$, for each $x \in S \setminus \{\varepsilon\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ there exists a unique $\Delta(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $x = \alpha(x)\Delta(x)$ and $\{\alpha(x)\} \cap \{\Delta(x)\} = \emptyset$.

Lemma 5.1. *The following correspondences between δ_ν and Δ hold:*

1.
$$\delta_\nu(x) \subseteq \delta_\nu(y) \Rightarrow \Delta(x) \preceq \Delta(y) \tag{7}$$

2.
$$\delta_\nu(x) \subset \delta_\nu(y) \text{ and } \Delta(x) \approx \Delta(y) \Rightarrow y \prec x. \tag{8}$$

Proof. 1. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\alpha(y) \preceq \alpha(x)$. Let

$$s_1 = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)x = \alpha(x)x = \alpha(x)\Delta(x),$$

$$s_2 = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)y = \alpha(x)y = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)\Delta(y) = \alpha(x)\Delta(y).$$

The images of the support mapping are:

$$\nu(\sigma(s_1)) = \nu(\sigma(\alpha(x))) \cup \nu(\sigma(\alpha(y)) \cup \nu(\sigma(x)) = \nu(\sigma(\alpha(x))) \cup \nu(\sigma(\alpha(y)) \cup \delta_\nu(x)$$

$$\nu(\sigma(s_2)) = \nu(\sigma(\alpha(x))) \cup \nu(\sigma(\alpha(y)) \cup \nu(\sigma(y)) = \nu(\sigma(\alpha(x))) \cup \nu(\sigma(\alpha(y)) \cup \delta_\nu(y)$$

Since $\delta_\nu(x) \subseteq \delta_\nu(y)$, we have $\nu(\sigma(s_1)) \subseteq \nu(\sigma(s_2))$, and therefore $s_1 \preceq s_2$. By Lemma 4.5 s_1, s_2 are $\leq$-comparable, hence $s_1 \leq s_2$ and

$$\alpha(x)\Delta(x) \leq \alpha(x)\Delta(y).$$

Let a be a letter form $\Delta(x)$. Since $a \notin \alpha(x)$, we have $a \in \Delta(y)$. Thus, $\Delta(x) \preceq \Delta(y)$.

2. According to Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\alpha(y) \preceq \alpha(x). \tag{9}$$

By $\Delta(x) \approx \Delta(y)$ we obtain $y = \alpha(y)\Delta(y) \preceq \alpha(x)\Delta(x) = x$. Thus, $y \preceq x$.
Assume

$$x \approx y, \tag{10}$$

then

$$yx = y \Rightarrow \alpha(y)\Delta(y)\alpha(x)\Delta(x) = \alpha(y)\Delta(y) \Rightarrow$$
$$\alpha(y)\Delta(y)\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)\Delta(y) \Rightarrow \alpha(x) \preceq \alpha(y)\Delta(y).$$

By $\Delta(x) \approx \Delta(y)$, the words $\alpha(x)$ and $\Delta(y)$ have no common letters, therefore, $\alpha(x) \preceq \alpha(y)$.
By (9), it follows $\alpha(x) \approx \alpha(y)$. Using (10), we obtain $\delta_\nu(x) = \delta_\nu(y)$ that contradicts the condition.

□

Let us define relations $\sqsubset^{=}$, $\sqsubset_b^{\neq}$ over a set S_b as follows:

$$x \sqsubset^{=} y \Leftrightarrow \Delta(x) \approx \Delta(y), \text{ and } y <^{\mathcal{F}} x,$$

where the linear order $<^{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\mathcal{F}$ was defined above.

Define $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$ iff b contains a letter $a \in \{\Delta(y)\} \setminus \{\Delta(x)\}$ and there is not any letter $a' \in \{\Delta(x)\} \setminus \{\Delta(y)\}$ occurring after a in the word b. The letter a with such property is called the (x, y)-*separator* if b does not contain any letter with the same property after a.

Example 5.2. If $\Delta(x) = a_1a_2a_3a_5$, $\Delta(y) = a_2a_4a_5$ for some $x, y \in S_b$, $b = a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5$ then $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$ and a_4 is the (x, y)-separator. □

Obviously, $\sqsubset_b^{\neq}$ is defined for all $x, y \in S_b$ with distinct sets $\{\Delta(x)\}, \{\Delta(y)\}$.
The relation $x \sqsubset_b y$ holds iff

1. $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$ or
2. $x \sqsubset^{=} y$ for undefined $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$.

Clearly, $\sqsubset_b$ is defined for any pair $(x, y) \in S_b^2$.

Lemma 5.3. *If $x \in S_b$ then $\Delta(x) \preceq \Delta(b)$.*

Proof. We have $\alpha(x)b = xb$ and Lemma 4.4 gives $\alpha(b) \preceq \alpha(x)$. Therefore,

$$\alpha(x)b = xb \Leftrightarrow \alpha(x)\Delta(b) = \alpha(x)\Delta(x)\Delta(b).$$

Let a be a letter from the word $\Delta(x)$. Hence, a should occur in the left part of the last equality. Since $\alpha(x), \Delta(x)$ have no common letters, we obtain $a \in \Delta(b)$. Thus, $\Delta(x) \preceq \Delta(b)$. □

Theorem 5.4. *Any finite subband $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ has a local linear order.*

Proof. By the definition of the local linear order, one should prove the following.
Let us prove that $\sqsubset_b$ satisfies all conditions of a local linear order.

1. $\sqsubset_b$ *is a linear order.* By the definition, $\sqsubset_b$ is irreflexive and asymmetric and it is defined for any pair $(x, y) \in S_b^2$. Let us prove the transitivity and take $x \sqsubset_b y$, $y \sqsubset_b z$. We have the following cases.
   (a) $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$, $y \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$. Let us prove $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$.
   It follows there exist the (x, y)-separator a and the (y, z)-separator a'. By the definition of a separator, we have $a \in \{\Delta(y)\} \setminus \{\Delta(x)\}$, $a' \in \{\Delta(z)\} \setminus \{\Delta(y)\}$.
   Suppose a is before a' in the word b (or $a = a'$). It follows that $a' \notin \Delta(x)$. Let us prove that a' is the (x, z)-separator. Take an arbitrary $a'' \in \{\Delta(x)\}$ after a' in the word b. By $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$, $a'' \in \{\Delta(y)\}$. Since $y \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$, a'' should belong to $\{\Delta(z)\}$. Thus, a' is the (x, z)-separator and $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$.
   Suppose a is after a' in the word b. Since $y \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$, then $a \in \{\Delta(z)\}$. Let us prove that a is the (x, z)-separator. Take an arbitrary $a'' \in \{\Delta(x)\}$ after a in the word b. By $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$, we have $a'' \in \Delta(y)$. Since $y \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$ and a'' occurs in b after a, a'' should belong to $\{\Delta(z)\}$. Thus, a is the (x, z)-separator, and therefore $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$.
   (b) $x \sqsubset^{=} y$, $y \sqsubset^{=} z$. It easily follows $\Delta(x) \approx \Delta(z)$, $z <^{\mathcal{F}} y <^{\mathcal{F}} x$. Thus, $x \sqsubset^{=} z$.
   (c) $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$, $y \sqsubset^{=} z$. We have $\Delta(y) \approx \Delta(z)$. Let a be the (x, y)-separator. Hence, there is not any $a' \in \{\Delta(x)\} \setminus \{\Delta(y)\} = \{\Delta(x)\} \setminus \{\Delta(z)\}$ after a in the word b. Thus, a is the (x, z)-separator, and $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$.
   (d) $x \sqsubset^{=} y$, $y \sqsubset_b^{\neq} z$. This case is similar to the previous one.

2. *Prove (4).* Take x, y with $\delta_\nu(x) \subset \delta_\nu(y)$. By (7), we have $\Delta(x) \preceq \Delta(y)$.
   Assume $\Delta(x) \prec \Delta(y)$. By Lemma 5.3, all letters of $\Delta(y)$ belong to the word b. Thus, the last letter $a \in \{\Delta(y)\} \setminus \{\Delta(x)\}$ in the word b is the (x, y)-separator, and $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$.
   If $\Delta(x) \approx \Delta(y)$ then (8) gives
   $$y \prec x \Rightarrow y <^{\mathcal{F}} x \Rightarrow x \sqsubset^{=} y.$$

3. *Prove (5).* By Lemma 5.3, all letters of $\Delta(x), \Delta(y)$ belong to the word b. Since $x \preceq \alpha(y)$, the sets of letters $\{x\}$, $\{\Delta(y)\}$ do not intersect. Let a (a') be the last letter from $\{\Delta(x)\}$ (respectively, $\{\Delta(y)\}$) in the word b. If a is before a' in b we immediately obtain $x \sqsubset_b y$. Let us assume the converse: $\Delta(b) = d_1 a' d_2 a d_3$ for some $d_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Using Lemma 4.4, we have $\alpha(b) \preceq \alpha(x)$ and
   $$\alpha(x)b = xb \Rightarrow \alpha(x)\Delta(b) = x\Delta(b) \Rightarrow \alpha(x)d_1 a' d_2 a d_3 = x d_1 a' d_2 a d_3.$$
   The word $\alpha(x)d_1 a'$ does not contain a, and x does not contain a'. Therefore, the words $\alpha(x)d_1 a'$, x are not $\leq$-comparable, and the last equality does not hold.

4. *Prove (6).* Suppose $x \sqsubset^{=} y$. Since the relation $\sqsubset^{=}$ does not depend on b, we immediately obtain $x \sqsubset_c y$. Let us put $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$, and a is the (x, y)-separator. By Lemma 4.4, $\alpha(b) \preceq \alpha(x)$, $\alpha(b) \preceq \alpha(y)$, and $\alpha(c) \preceq \alpha(b)$ (since $b \in S_b \subseteq S_c$). Hence, $\{\Delta(x)\} \cap \{\alpha(b)\} = \{\Delta(y)\} \cap \{\alpha(b)\} = \emptyset$ and $a \notin \{\alpha(b)\}$. The equality $\alpha(b)c = bc$ gives
   $$\alpha(b)\Delta(c) = \alpha(b)\Delta(b)\Delta(c).$$
   By Lemma 5.3, $\Delta(y) \preceq \Delta(y)$ and therefore $a \in \Delta(b)$. Therefore, the left part of the last equality should contain a, hence, $a \in \{\Delta(c)\}$. The relation $x \sqsubset_c^{\neq} y$ fails if there exists a letter $a' \in \{\Delta(x)\} \setminus \{\Delta(y)\}$ and a' occurs after a in $\Delta(c)$.

By Lemma 5.3, $a' \in \{\Delta(x)\} \subseteq \{\Delta(b)\}$. Since $x \sqsubset_b^{\neq} y$, a' occurs before a in the word b.

Thus, $\Delta(c) = c_1 a c_2 a' c_3$ and $\Delta(b) = b_1 a' b_2 a b_3$ for some $c_i, b_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and we have

$$\alpha(b) \sim_c b \Leftrightarrow \alpha(b) c_1 a c_2 a' c_3 = \alpha(b) b_1 a' b_2 a b_3 c_1 c_2 c_3.$$

The prefixes $s_1 = \alpha(b) c_1 a$, $s_2 = \alpha(b) b_1 a'$ are not $\leq$-comparable (s_1 does not contain a', s_2 does not contain a), a contradiction.

Thus, we proved $x \sqsubset_c y$.

□

6 Main result

Recall that $\mathcal{F} = \{a_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is the free left regular band of infinite rank with the identity adjoined. The aim of this paper is to prove the next theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *For any finite left regular band S the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *S is embeddable into $\mathcal{F}$;*
2. *S is right hereditary and has a local linear order.*

Obviously, Theorem 6.1 immediately gives the following result for free left regular bands of finite ranks.

Theorem 6.2. *For any finite left regular band S the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *S is embeddable into a free left regular band $\mathcal{F}_n$ of an appropriate rank n;*
2. *S is right hereditary and has a local linear order.*

Let us come back to the proof of Theorem 6.1. The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from Theorem 5.4. The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is quite complicated, so its proof is divided into two parts. Below S is a finite right hereditary band with a local linear order.

6.1 Orderings and homomorphisms

Let $L = \sigma(S)$. *One can initially assume that L is a subsemilattice of $\mathbf{F}$. Thus, below we consider elements of L as words of $\mathbf{F}$.* Let $s \in S \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ and

$$\delta(s) = \sigma(s) \setminus \sigma(\alpha(s)) \in \mathbf{F}$$

(obviously, $\delta(s)$ coincides with $\delta_\nu(s)$ defined in (3) if we embed L into $\mathbf{F}$ via the embedding ν).

For any $c \in S$ we define a vector

$$\chi(c) = (\chi(\alpha(c)), y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n_c}) \tag{11}$$

such that

1. $\chi(c)$ is a recursive structure, since it contains a subvector $\chi(\alpha(c))$;
2. $S_c = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n_c}\}$;
3. $y_i \sqsubset_c y_{i+1}$;

4. $\chi(\varepsilon)$ is the empty vector.

Example 6.3. Let us show that the left regular band $\mathcal{B}$ from the previous examples has a local linear order and compute the vectors $\chi(c)$ for each $c \in S_c$.

For elements of $\mathcal{B}$ we have $\delta(x_1) = \mathbf{a}_1$, $\delta(x_2) = \mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2$, $\delta(x_3) = \mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_3$, $\delta(y_0) = \mathbf{a}_2$, $\delta(y_1) = \mathbf{a}_3$, $\delta(y_2) = \mathbf{a}_3$ (since ν embeds $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ into $\mathbf{F}$ we have $\delta(s) = \delta_\nu(s)$ for each $s \in \mathcal{B}$).

One of the possible definitions of $\sqsubset_b$ is in the following table (if a set S_b consists of a unique element the definition of $\sqsubset_b$ is omitted). Using the definition of $\sqsubset_b$, one can define vectors $\chi(b)$.

x	$\sqsubset_x$ over S_x	$\chi(x)$
x_1	—	(x_1)
x_2	$y_0 \sqsubset x_2$	(y_0, x_2)
x_3	$y_0 \sqsubset y_1 \sqsubset y_2 \sqsubset x_3$	(y_0, y_1, y_2, x_3)
y_0	—	$(\chi(x_1), y_0)$
y_1	$y_1 \sqsubset y_2$	$(\chi(y_0), y_1, y_2)$
y_2	$y_1 \sqsubset y_2$	$(\chi(x_2), y_1, y_2)$

□

One can recursively apply (11) to $\alpha(c)$ and obtain a new vector

$$\chi(c) = (\chi(\alpha(\alpha(c))), x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n_{\alpha(c)}}, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n_c}).$$

It allows us to descend to any element $a \leq c$ obtaining the vector:

$$\chi(c) = (\chi(a), \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n_c}). \tag{12}$$

We shall say $y \in \chi(c)$ if $y \in S_c$ or $y \in \chi(\alpha(c))$.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\chi(c)$ be the vector (11). Then any element y with $y \sim_c \alpha(y)$ belongs to $\chi(c)$.*

Proof. Let $c' \leq c$ be the maximal element such that $\alpha(y) \nsim_{\alpha(c')} y$ and $\alpha(y) \sim_{c'} y$ (such element always exists in the set $\{s \in S | \varepsilon < s \leq c\}$). Therefore, $y \in S_{c'}$ and $y \in \chi(c') \subseteq \chi(c)$. □

Now we are able to define a map $h\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$ of a right hereditary band S.

$$h(c) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon, \text{ if } c = \varepsilon, \\ h(\alpha(c))c_1c_2\ldots c_n, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}, \tag{13}$$

where $\chi(c) = (\chi(\alpha(c)), y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$ and $c_i = \overrightarrow{\delta(y_i)}$ (the operator $\overrightarrow{()}$ was defined in Section 3).

Example 6.5. Let us compute the images of h for elements of the left regular band $\mathcal{B}$ defined in examples above. Let us fix an order over the free generators $a_1 <^{\mathcal{F}} a_2 <^{\mathcal{F}} a_3$ and obtain

x	$\overrightarrow{\delta(x)}$	$h(x)$
x_1	a_1	a_1
x_2	a_1a_2	$(a_2) \cdot (a_1a_2) = a_2a_1$
x_3	$a_1a_2a_3$	$(a_2) \cdot (a_3) \cdot (a_3)(a_1a_2a_3) = a_2a_3a_1$
y_0	a_2	$h(x_1) \cdot (a_2) = a_1a_2$
y_1	a_3	$h(y_0) \cdot (a_3) \cdot (a_3) = a_1a_2a_3$
y_2	a_3	$h(x_2) \cdot (a_3) \cdot (a_3) = a_2a_1a_3$

One can directly check that h embeds $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{F}$. $\square$

Since $h(c)$ is recursively defined by the image $h(\alpha(c))$ and $h(\alpha(c)) \leq h(c)$, we obtain the next simple statement.

Lemma 6.6. *The map h preserves the order $\leq$: if $a \leq b$ then $h(a) \leq h(b)$.*

Lemma 6.7. *For any $c \in S$ it holds $\sigma(h(c)) = \sigma(c)$ (recall that both elements $\sigma(s), \sigma(h(s))$ belong to $\mathbf{F}$).*

Proof. If $c = \varepsilon$ the equality obviously holds. Assume the equality holds for the ancestor $\alpha(c)$:

$$\sigma(h(\alpha(c))) = \sigma(\alpha(c))$$

Let $\chi(c)$ be the vector (11), and the image $h(c)$ is defined by (13). Since $c \in S_c$, there exists an index i such that $y_i = c$, hence

$$\sigma(h(c)) \supseteq \sigma(h(\alpha(c))) \cup \delta(c) = \sigma(\alpha(c)) \cup \delta(c) = \sigma(c).$$

Let us prove the contrary inclusion. By the choice of the elements y_i, we have $y_i c = \alpha(y_i)c$, hence $\sigma(y_i) \cup \sigma(c) = \sigma(\alpha(y_i)) \cup \sigma(c)$ and it follows

$$\delta(y_i) \subseteq \sigma(c).$$

Thus,

$$\sigma(h(c)) = \sigma(h(\alpha(c))) \bigcup_i \delta(y_i) \subseteq \sigma(\alpha(c)) \cup \sigma(c) = \sigma(c).$$

$\square$

We need the next auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 6.8. *Let $y, z, c \in S \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$, $z \sim_c \alpha(z)$, $s = \alpha(z)yz$, $s \neq \alpha(z)y$ and in the chain*

$$s = s_0 > s_1 > \ldots > s_{k-1} > s_k = \alpha(z)y$$

each s_i is the ancestor of s_{i-1} $(1 \leq i \leq k)$. Then

1. *$s_i \sim_c s_{i-1}$;*
2. *$\sigma(s) \setminus \sigma(\alpha(z)y) \subseteq \delta(z)$;*
3. *$\delta(s_i) \subseteq \sigma(s) \setminus \sigma(\alpha(z)y)$;*
4. *$\delta(s_i) \subseteq \delta(z)$;*
5. $$\bigcup_{i=0}^{k} \delta(s_i) = \sigma(s) \setminus \sigma(\alpha(z)\alpha(b)); \tag{14}$$
6. *the equality $\delta(s_i) = \delta(z)$ implies $k = 1$;*
7. *suppose $z \in S_{c'}$ (it follows $c' \leq c$). Then $s_i \in S_{c_i}$ for some $c_i \leq c'$;*
8. *if $\delta_\nu(s_i) \subset \delta_\nu(z)$ then s_i occurs before z in the vector $\chi(c)$.*

Proof. 1. We have the chain

$$\alpha(z)y = s_k < s_{k-1} < \ldots < s_0 = \alpha(z)yz \leq \alpha(z)yzc = \alpha(z)y\alpha(z)c = \alpha(z)yc.$$

By Lemma 4.2, $s_i \sim_c \alpha(z)y$ and therefore $s_i \sim_c s_{i-1}$.

2. Suppose a letter $\mathbf{a}$ belongs to $\sigma(\alpha(z)yz) \setminus \sigma(\alpha(z)y) \in \mathbf{F}$. Then $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma(z)$ but $\mathbf{a} \notin \sigma(\alpha(z))$. Thus, $\mathbf{a} \in \delta(z)$.
3. Consider a letter $\mathbf{a} \in \delta(s_i)$. Hence, $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma(s_i) \subseteq \sigma(s)$, $\mathbf{a} \notin \sigma(s_{i+1})$. Since $s_{i+1} \geq \alpha(z)y$, we have $\mathbf{a} \notin \sigma(\alpha(z)y)$. Thus, $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma(s) \setminus \sigma(\alpha(z)y)$.
4. The statement immediately follows from the previous ones.
5. We have $\sigma(s_{i-1}) = \delta(s_{i-1}) \sqcup \sigma(s_i)$ ($\mathbf{x} \sqcup \mathbf{y}$ is the join of words $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{F}$ which do not have common letters). Thus,

$$\sigma(s_0) = \delta(s_0) \sqcup \sigma(s_1) = \delta(s_0) \sqcup \delta(s_1) \sqcup \sigma(s_2) = \ldots = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{k} \delta(s_i) \sqcup \sigma(\alpha(z)y)$$

   and we obtain (14).
6. Immediately follows from (14) and previous statements of the lemma.
7. We have $z \sim_{c'} \alpha(z)$. One can repeat the proof of the first statement of the lemma for c' instead of c and obtain $s_i \sim_{c'} s_{i-1}$. Therefore, $s_i \in S_{c_i}$ for some $c_i \leq c' \leq c$
8. By the previous statement of the lemma, each s_i belongs to S_{c_i} for some $c_i \leq c'$. Therefore, the element s_i (z) belongs to the vector $\chi(c_i)$ (respectively, $\chi(c')$). If $c_i < c'$, then the contents of $\chi(c_i)$ occur before the contents of $\chi(c')$ in the vector $\chi(c)$, and therefore s_i occur before z in the vector $\chi(c)$. If $c_i = c'$ then, according to (4), the condition $\delta_\nu(s_i) \subset \delta_\nu(z)$ implies $s_i \sqsubset_{c'} z$. Therefore, s_i occurs before z in the vector $\chi(c')$. Since the vector $\chi(c')$ is included in $\chi(c)$, s_i occurs before z in $\chi(c)$.

□

Recall that Lemma 6.8 holds for any embedding of $\sigma(S)$ into $\mathbf{F}$. If we replace all occurrences of δ to δ_ν we obtain true statements about the embedding ν.

Lemma 6.9. *The map $h\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$ is a homomorphism of a right hereditary band S.*

Proof. Using Lemmas 3.2, 6.7, it is sufficient to prove the implication

$$a \sim_c b \Rightarrow h(a) \sim_{h(c)} h(b). \tag{15}$$

First, we prove

$$\alpha(b) \sim_c b \Rightarrow h(\alpha(b)) \sim_{h(c)} h(b). \tag{16}$$

By the definition of h, one can write

$$h(b) = h(\alpha(b))b_1b_2\ldots b_n,$$

$$h(c) = c_1c_2\ldots c_m$$

(in the last expression we iterate the definition of $h(c)$ to the identity ε), and there exist vectors

$$\chi(b) = (\chi(\alpha(b)), y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n),$$

$$\chi(c) = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m),$$

such that $b_i = \overrightarrow{\delta(y_i)}$, $c_j = \overrightarrow{\delta(z_j)}$.

Lemma 6.4 states $z_j \sim_c \alpha(z_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), $y_i \sim_b \alpha(y_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Using Lemmas 4.2, 4.4, we have the following chain

$$\alpha(y_i) \leq y_i \leq \alpha(y_i)b \leq \alpha(y_i)bc = (\alpha(y_i)\alpha(b))c = \alpha(y_i)c.$$

By Lemma 4.2, it follows $\alpha(y_i) \sim_c y_i$. Thus, the vector $\chi(c)$ contains all y_i. In other words, there exists a function $f\colon \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ which embeds $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}$ into $\chi(c)$ ($y_i = z_{f(i)}$). By (6), the function f is monotone: $i_1 < i_2 \to f(i_1) < f(i_2)$.

Let $z \in \chi(c)$ and below we prove that one of the following holds:

1. $$\delta(z) \subseteq \bigcup_j \delta(z_j) \cup \sigma(\alpha(b)), \tag{17}$$

   where elements z_j occur in $\chi(c)$ before z;

2. z is after b in $\chi(c)$;

3. z belongs to $\chi(b)$, i.e. $z = y_i$ or $z \in \chi(\alpha(b))$.

Assume for some $z \in \chi(c)$ all conditions above fail. Let $s = \alpha(z)\alpha(b)z$. If $s = \alpha(z)\alpha(b)$ then $\delta(z) \subseteq \sigma(\alpha(b))$, and (17) holds. Therefore, we assume below $s > \alpha(z)\alpha(b)$, and we have a nontrivial chain

$$s = s_0 > s_1 > \ldots > s_k > \alpha(z)\alpha(b),$$

where each s_i is the ancestor of the previous one. By Lemma 6.8 we have $s_i \sim_c \alpha(s_i)$, and all s_i belong to the vector $\chi(c)$ (Lemma 6.4).

We have the following cases.

1. Let $s = z$ and denote $s' = \alpha(z)b$ (we use $z \sim_c \alpha(z)$ below):

   $$\alpha(z)\alpha(b)z = z \Rightarrow \alpha(z)\alpha(b)zc = zc \Rightarrow \alpha(z)\alpha(b)\alpha(z)c = zc \Rightarrow \alpha(z)(\alpha(b)c) = zc \Rightarrow \alpha(z)bc = zc \Rightarrow s'c = zc.$$

   Since S is right hereditary, the elements z, s' are $\leq$-comparable. Thus, we have the following cases.

   (a) If $z \leq s'$ then
   $$\alpha(z) \leq z \leq \alpha(z)b$$
   and Lemma 4.2 gives $\alpha(z) \sim_b z$. Thus, z should occur in the $\chi(b)$, a contradiction.

   (b) Let $s' = \alpha(z)b < z$. By the definition of the ancestor (there are not elements between $\alpha(z)$ and z), we obtain $s' = \alpha(z)$. Thus, $b \preceq \alpha(z)$. By (5), we have $b \sqsubset_c z$, therefore z occurs after b in $\chi(c)$, a contradiction with the choice of z.

2. Let $s \neq z$ and Lemma 6.8 gives $\delta_\nu(s) \subseteq \delta_\nu(z)$. If we assume $\delta_\nu(s) = \delta_\nu(z)$ then $\alpha(z) \approx \alpha(s) \succeq \alpha(z)\alpha(b)$ (Lemma 2.3) and we have $\alpha(b) \preceq \alpha(z)$. Therefore

   $$s = (\alpha(z)\alpha(b))z = \alpha(z)z = z,$$

   a contradiction.

   Thus, $\delta_\nu(s) \subset \delta_\nu(z)$, and Lemma 6.8 provides $\delta_\nu(s_i) \subset \delta_\nu(z)$. By the last statement of Lemma 6.8, all s_i occur before z in $\chi(c)$. Let

   $$\mathbf{c} = \sigma(\alpha(b)) \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} \delta(s_i).$$

   By (14), $\mathbf{c} = \sigma(\alpha(b)) \cup (\sigma(s) \setminus \sigma(\alpha(z)\alpha(b)))$.

Let us prove $\mathbf{c} \supseteq \delta(z)$. Suppose a letter $\mathbf{a}$ belongs to the word $\delta(z)$. Therefore, $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma(z)$, $\mathbf{a} \notin \sigma(\alpha(z))$. If $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma(\alpha(b))$ we immediately obtain $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{c}$. Otherwise ($\mathbf{a} \notin \sigma(\alpha(b))$), we have

$$\mathbf{a} \in \sigma(z) \setminus \sigma(\alpha(z)\alpha(b)) \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{c}.$$

Thus, we have proved (17) that contradicts the choice of z.

Let us come back to the equality (16). One should prove

$$h(\alpha(b))c_1c_2\dots c_m = h(\alpha(b))b_1b_2\dots b_nc_1c_2\dots c_m. \tag{18}$$

Since $b \in \chi(b)$ and $b \in \chi(c)$, there exists an index i such that $\overrightarrow{\delta(y_i)} = \overrightarrow{\delta(z_{f(i)})} = \overrightarrow{\delta(b)}$. For each z_k, $k < f(i)$ we have one of the following

1. $z_k \in \chi(b)$ (probably, $z_k \in \chi(\alpha(b))$);
2. z_k satisfies (17).

In the second case all letters of c_k are eliminated by letters of previous elements and one can remove such c_k from (18). If $z_k \in \chi(\alpha(b))$ then all letters of c_k occur in $h(\alpha(b))$ (Lemma 6.7) and c_k can be removed from (18).

Thus one can reduce (18) to

$$\begin{gathered}h(\alpha(b))b_1b_2\dots b_{i-1}\overrightarrow{\delta(b)}c_{f(i)+1}c_{f(i)+2}\dots c_m = \\ h(\alpha(b))b_1b_2\dots b_{i-1}\overrightarrow{\delta(b)}b_{i+1}\dots b_nb_1b_2\dots b_{i-1}\overrightarrow{\delta(b)}c_{f(i)+1}c_{f(i)+2}\dots c_m \Leftrightarrow \\ h(\alpha(b))b_1b_2\dots b_{i-1}\overrightarrow{\delta(b)}c_{f(i)+1}c_{f(i)+2}\dots c_m = h(\alpha(b))b_1b_2\dots b_{i-1}\overrightarrow{\delta(b)}b_{i+1}\dots b_nc_{f(i)+1}c_{f(i)+2}\dots c_m.\end{gathered} \tag{19}$$

Relations $\alpha(y_i) \sim_b y_i$ implies

$$\sigma(y_i) \cup \sigma(b) = \sigma(\alpha(y_i)) \cup \sigma(b) \Rightarrow \delta(y_j) \subseteq \sigma(b) = \sigma(\alpha(b)) \cup \delta(b) = \sigma(h(\alpha(b))) \cup \delta(b).$$

Therefore, the elements $b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \dots, b_n$ in (19) are eliminated by letters of $h(\alpha(b)), \overrightarrow{\delta(b)}$, and (19) becomes a true equality:

$$h(\alpha(b))b_1b_2\dots b_{i-1}\overrightarrow{\delta(b)}c_{f(i)+1}c_{f(i)+2}\dots c_m = h(\alpha(b))b_1b_2\dots b_{i-1}\overrightarrow{\delta(b)}c_{f(i)+1}c_{f(i)+2}\dots c_m.$$

Thus, we have proved (16).

Let us prove (15) using (16). Since S is right hereditary, $a < b$ (similarly, one can assume $b < a$). Therefore, there exists a chain

$$b = b_0 > b_1 > \dots > b_r = a,$$

where $b_i = \alpha(b_{i-1})$. Since $a \le b_i \le b \le ac$, Lemma 4.2 gives $a \sim_c b_i$. The transitivity of $\sim_c$ provides $b_{i+1} \sim_c b_i$. Above we proved $h(b_{i+1}) \sim_{h(c)} h(b_i)$, and the transitivity of $\sim_{h(c)}$ gives $h(a) \sim_{h(c)} h(b)$.

□

6.2 Improving the homomorphism

The homomorphism $h\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$ defined above is not necessarily an embedding of S into $\mathcal{F}$. In other words, it is possible

$$\ker(h) = \{(s_1, s_2) | s_1 \neq s_2,\ h(s_1) = h(s_2)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Example 6.10. Let us define a right hereditary band $\mathcal{B}' = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ by the following multiplication table (the identity ε is omitted):

	x_1	x_2	x_3	y_1	y_2	y_3
x_1	x_1	x_1	y_1	y_1	y_2	y_3
x_2	x_2	x_2	y_3	y_3	y_3	y_3
x_3	x_3	x_3	x_3	x_3	x_3	x_3
y_1	y_1	y_1	y_1	y_1	y_1	y_1
y_2	y_2	y_2	y_2	y_2	y_2	y_2
y_3	y_3	y_3	y_3	y_3	y_3	y_3

The order $\leq$ over $\mathcal{B}'$ is defined by:



Fig. 3

The support semilattice of $\mathcal{B}'$ is a linear ordered semilattice $1 < 2 < 3$, where

$$\sigma(\varepsilon) = 1,\ \sigma(x_1) = \sigma(x_2) = 2,\ \sigma(x_3) = \sigma(y_1) = \sigma(y_2) = \sigma(y_3) = 3.$$

The embedding ν gives:

$$\sigma(x_1) = \sigma(x_2) = \mathbf{a}_1,\ \sigma(x_3) = \sigma(y_1) = \sigma(y_2) = \sigma(y_3) = \mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2.$$

Thus, the embedding ν embeds $\sigma(\mathcal{B}')$ into $\mathbf{F}$. We have (computing $\overrightarrow{\delta(x)}$, we use $a_1 <^{\mathcal{F}} a_2$):

x	S_x	$\sqsubset_x$	$\overrightarrow{\delta(x)}$	$\chi(x)$	$h(x)$
x_1	$\{x_1\}$	—	a_1	(x_1)	a_1
x_2	$\{x_2\}$	—	a_1	(x_2)	a_1
x_3	$\{x_3, y_1, y_3\}$	$y_1 \sqsubset y_2 \sqsubset x_3$	a_1a_2	(y_1, y_2, x_3)	a_2a_1
y_1	$\{y_1, y_3\}$	$y_1 \sqsubset y_3$	a_2	$(\chi(x_1), y_1, y_3)$	a_1a_2
y_2	$\{y_2, y_3\}$	$y_2 \sqsubset y_3$	a_2	$(\chi(x_1), y_2, y_3)$	a_1a_2
y_3	$\{y_2, y_3\}$	$y_2 \sqsubset y_3$	a_2	$(\chi(x_2), y_2, y_3)$	a_1a_2

Thus, we have a nonempty kernel

$$\ker(h) = \{(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (y_1, y_3), (y_2, y_3)\}.$$

□

Below we define a series of homomorphisms $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m$ such that

$$\ker(h) \supset \ker(h_1) \supset \ker(h_2) \supset \ldots \supset \ker(h_m) = \emptyset.$$

using the next algorithm.

Embedding algorithm

INPUT: a homomorphism $h\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$.

OUTPUT: an embedding $h'\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$.

1. Put $h' = h$, and F' is the free left regular band generated by letters $\{a_i \mid \exists s \in S,\ a_i \in h(s)\}$.
2. Take $c \in S$ such that $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$ are all descendants of c ($\alpha(b_i) = c$), and $h'(b_i) \leq h'(b_j)$ for some distinct i, j;
   Apply Modification$(h', F', c, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$ (see below) and obtain a new homomorphism h' and a new free left regular band F'.
3. If there is not any $c \in S$ from the previous step, we terminate the algorithm.

Modification$(h, F, c, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$

INPUT: a homomorphism $h\colon S \to F$, free left regular band F, $c \in S$, and all descendants $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$ of c.

OUTPUT: a homomorphism $h'\colon S \to F'$, where $F' \supseteq F$ is a free left regular band, and h' is defined as follows.

Let $C \subseteq F$ be the set of all letters $a \notin h(c)$. Let F' be the free left regular band generated by F and new free generators

$$\{t_c^{\emptyset}, t_c^{b_1}, t_c^{b_2}, \ldots, t_c^{b_k}\}.$$

Let

$$t'_c = t_c^{\emptyset} t_c^{b_1} t_c^{b_2} \ldots t_c^{b_k},$$

$H_c = \{s \in S \mid h(s) \text{ contains a letter from } C\}$,

$$S_{cb_i} = \{s \mid \exists y \geq b_i\ cs = ys\},$$

$$S_{c\emptyset} = H_c \setminus \left(\bigcup_i S_{\mathbf{c}b_i} \right).$$

First, one should prove the following statement.

Lemma 6.11. *For all $i \neq j$ $S_{cb_i} \cap S_{cb_j} = \emptyset$ and $S_{cb_i} \subseteq H_c$.*

Proof. Assume $s \in S_{cb_i} \cap S_{cb_j}$. It follows that there exist y_i, y_j such that $b_i \leq y_i$, $b_j \leq y_j$, $cs = y_i s$, $cs = y_i s$. Therefore, $y_i s = y_j s$ and y_i, y_j should be $\leq$-comparable. However it is impossible, since S is right hereditary.

Let us prove $S_{cb_i} \subseteq H_c$. Any $s \in S_{cb_i}$ satisfies $h(c)h(s) = h(y)h(s)$ for some $y \geq b_i > c$. If assume $s \notin H_c$ then $h(s) \preceq h(c) \leq h(y)$ and the last equality becomes $h(c) = h(y)$, a contradiction. □

Now we are ready to define a new mapping h' by the following substitutions in images of h:

$$h'(s) = \begin{cases} h(s), \text{ if } s \notin H_c, \\ h(s)|_{a:=at_c^x t'_c}, \text{ for all } a \in C \text{ if } s \in S_{cx}\ (x \in \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k, \emptyset\}) \end{cases}$$

After the definition of h' Modification algorithm stops.

Example 6.12. Let us explain the work of Embedding and Modification algorithms at the semigroup $\mathcal{B}'$.

First, we take $c = \varepsilon$. Then $C = \{a_1, a_2\}$, $b_1 = x_1$, $b_2 = x_2$, $b_3 = x_3$, $S_{\varepsilon x_1} = \{x_1, y_1, y_2\}$, $S_{\varepsilon x_2} = \{x_2, y_3\}$, $S_{\varepsilon x_3} = \{x_3\}$, $S_{\varepsilon\emptyset} = \emptyset$.

By the Modification algorithm, we obtain $t'_\varepsilon = t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon}$. Thus, $h'(x_1) = a_1 t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon}$, $h'(x_2) = a_1 t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon}$,

$$h'(x_3) = a_2 t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} a_1 t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} = a_2 t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} a_1,$$

$$h'(y_1) = h'(y_2) = a_1 t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} a_2 t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} = a_1 t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} a_2,$$

$$h'(y_3) = a_1 t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} a_2 t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} = a_1 t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} a_2.$$

We have $\ker(h') = \{(y_1, y_2)\}$, so one should repeat an iteration of Embedding algorithm. We take $c = x_1$ and obtain $C = a_2$ $b_1 = y_1$, $b_2 = y_2$, $S_{x_1 y_1} = \{y_1, x_3\}$ (since $x_1 x_3 = y_1 x_3$), $S_{x_1 y_2} = \{y_2\}$, $S_{x_1\emptyset} = \{y_3\}$.

Modification algorithm now gives: $t'_{x_1} = t^{\emptyset}_{x_1} t^{y_1}_{x_1} t^{y_2}_{x_1}$, $h''(x_1) = h'(x_1)$, $h''(x_2) = h'(x_2)$, $h''(x_3) = a_2 t^{y_1}_{x_1} t^{\emptyset}_{x_1} t^{y_2}_{x_1} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} a_1$, $h''(y_1) = a_1 t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} a_2 t^{y_1}_{x_1} t^{\emptyset}_{x_1} t^{y_2}_{x_1}$, $h''(y_2) = a_1 t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} a_2 t^{y_2}_{x_1} t^{\emptyset}_{x_1} t^{y_1}_{x_1}$, $h''(y_3) = a_1 t^{x_2}_{\varepsilon} t^{\emptyset}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_1}_{\varepsilon} t^{x_3}_{\varepsilon} a_2 t^{\emptyset}_{x_1} t^{y_1}_{x_1} t^{y_2}_{x_1}$.

Thus, we obtained an embedding $h'' \colon S \to \mathcal{F}$ after two iterations of Embedding algorithm. $\square$

Lemma 6.13. *Let $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k, \emptyset\}$.*

1. *Let us consider $x, y \in S$ such that $x \in S_{cd}$ for some $d \in B$. Then*

$$h'(x)h'(y) = h(x)|_{a:=at^d_c t'_c} h(y), \tag{20}$$

   where a is the first letter of $h(x)$ from the set C.

2. *Suppose $x, y \in S$ satisfy $h(x) < h(y)$. The inequality $h'(x) < h'(y)$ fails only if $x \in S_{cd}$, $y \in S_{ce}$ for distinct $e, d \in B$.*

3. *Suppose $x, y, z \in S$ satisfy $h(x) \sim_{h(z)} h(y)$, $h(x) \leq h(y)$ and $h'(x) \leq h'(y)$. The relation $h'(x) \sim_{h'(z)} h'(y)$ fails only if $y \in S_{cd}$, $z \in S_{ce}$ for distinct $e, d \in B$ and $x \notin H_c$.*

Proof. 1. Let $a' \in C$ be a letter of the word $h(x)h(y)$ which occurs after a. By the definition of the map h', the letter a (a') will be replaced to the expression $a' t^d_c t'_c$ for some $d \in B$ (respectively, $a' t^e_c t'_c$ for some $e \in B$). However the subword $t^e_c t'_c$ is eliminated by $t^d_c t'_c$ which occurs earlier. Thus, t-letters will be occur only after a in $h'(x)h'(y)$ and we immediately obtain (20).

2. If $y \notin H_c$ then $x \notin H_c$ (since $h(x) < h(y)$) and $h'(x) = h(x)$, $h'(y) = h(y)$. In this case the inequality $h'(x) < h'(y)$ holds.

   Since the case $x \notin H_c$, $y \in H_c$ is impossible, it is sufficient to consider the case $x \in H_c$, i.e. $x \in S_{cd}$ for some $d \in B$. Since $h(x) < h(y)$, we have $y \in H_c$ and $x \in S_{ce}$ for some $e \in B$. If $d = e$ then the inequality $h'(x) < h'(y)$ remains true. Thus, $d \neq e$.

3. If $x \in H_c$ then $x \in S_{cf}$ for some $f \in B$. Since $h'(x) \leq h'(y)$, y belongs to the same set S_{cf}. Let a be the first letter from $h(x)$ with $a \in C$ (since $h(x) \leq h(y)$, a is the first letter from C in the word $h(y) = h(x)w$). By (20) we have

$$h'(x)h'(z) = h(x)|_{a:=at^f_c t'_c} h(z),$$

$$h'(y)h'(z) = h(y)|_{a:=at^f_c t'_c} h(z) = h(x)|_{a:=at^f_c t'_c} wh(z),$$

and the equality

$$h(x)|_{a:=at_c^f t'_c} h(z) = h(x)|_{a:=at_c^f t'_c} wh(z)$$

obviously holds, since we have the condition $h(x)h(z) = h(x)wh(z)$.

Thus, below we assume $x \notin H_c$, i.e. $h(x)$ does not contain letters from C and hence $h'(x) = h(x)$. Suppose $y \in H_c$, i.e. $y \in S_{cd}$ for some $d \in B$. We have that the right part of the equality $h(x)h(z) = h(y)h(z)$ contains letters from C. Therefore, $h(z)$ should contain a letter from C, i.e. $z \in S_{ce}$ for some $e \in B$. Let $a \in C$ ($a' \in C$) be the first letter in the word $h(y)$ (respectively, $h(z)$). By (20), we have

$$h'(y)h'(z) = h(y)|_{a:=at_c^d t'_c} h(z),$$

$$h'(x)h'(z) = h(x)h(z)|_{a':=a't_c^e t'_c}.$$

It is easy to see that for $d = e$ the equality $h'(x)h'(z) = h'(y)h'(z)$ holds, and we came to the contradiction. Thus, $d \neq e$.

It is sufficient to consider the case $x, y \notin H_c$, i.e. $h'(x) = h(x)$, $h'(y) = h(y)$. If assume $z \notin H_c$ then $h'(z) = h(z)$, and the equality $h'(x)h'(z) = h'(y)h'(z)$ holds. Thus, we put $z \in H_c$, i.e. $z \in S_{ce}$ for some $e \in B$. Therefore,

$$h'(x)h'(z) = h(x)h(z)|_{a:=at_c^e t'_c},$$

$$h'(y)h'(z) = h(y)h(z)|_{a:=at_c^e t'_c}$$

for some letter $a \in C$ of the word $h(z)$. Obviously, we obtain the equality $h'(x)h'(z) = h'(y)h'(z)$ (since $h(x)h(z) = h(y)h(z)$), a contradiction.

□

Let us prove that the map h' defined in Modification procedure is a homomorphism.

Lemma 6.14. *If $x < y$ $(x, y \in S)$ then*

$$h'(x) < h'(y) \tag{21}$$

Proof. Since h is a homomorphism and h satisfies Lemma 6.7, we have

$$h(x) < h(y) \tag{22}$$

According to Lemma 6.13, the relation (21) fails only if $x \in S_{cd}$, $y \in S_{ce}$, where $e, d \in \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k, \emptyset\}$ and $e \neq d$.

1. Let $x \in S_{cb_i}$, i.e. there exists $x' \geq b_i$ such that

   $$cx = x'x.$$

   Let us multiply the last equality by y and obtain $cy = x'y$. Thus, $y \in S_{cb_i}$.

2. Suppose $y \in S_{cb_i}$. There exists $y' \geq b_i$ such that $cy = y'y$. Since $x \leq y$, we have $cxy = y'xy$ and the elements $cx, y'x$ are $\leq$-comparable.

   For the inequality $cx \geq y'x$ we have

   $$y'xcx = cx \Leftrightarrow y'x = cx \text{ (we use } y' \geq c) \Rightarrow x \in S_{cb_i}.$$

   The relation $cx \leq y'x$ implies (we use $\alpha(b_i) = c$ and $y'x \geq b_i$) either $cx = c$ or $cx \geq b_i$. We have:

(a) $cx \geq b_i$, therefore $b_i x = cx$ and $x \in S_{cb_i}$;

(b) $cx = c$ ($x \preceq c$), then the word $h(x)$ does not contain any letter from C. Hence, $x \notin H_c$ that gives a contradiction with $x \in S_{cd}$ (Lemma 6.11 provides $x \in H_c$).

□

Lemma 6.15. *h' is a homomorphism.*

Proof. Following Lemma 3.2, it is sufficient to check that h' preserves the relations $\preceq, \sim_x$.

Actually h' is a substitution of letters in images of h. Hence, it obviously preserves $\preceq$-relation. Let us prove

$$h'(x) \sim_{h'(z)} h'(y) \tag{23}$$

if $x \sim_z y$. Below we assume $x \leq y$. Since h is a homomorphism, we have

$$h(x) \sim_{h(z)} h(y), \tag{24}$$

where $h(x) \leq h(y)$.

By Lemma 6.14, we have

$$h'(x) \leq h'(y). \tag{25}$$

According to Lemma 6.13, the relation (23) fails only if the word $h(x)$ does not contain letters from C, but $y \in S_{cd}$, $z \in S_{ce}$ for distinct $d, e \in \{\emptyset, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k\}$.

By the definition of C, we have

$$x \preceq c.$$

Suppose $y \in S_{cb_i}$. It follows there exist $y' \geq b_i$ such that $cy = y'y$. Multiplying the last equality by z, we obtain

$$cyz = y'yz.$$

Applying $x \sim_y z$ and $x \preceq c \leq y'$, we have

$$cyz = y'yz \Rightarrow cxz = y'xz \Rightarrow cz = y'z.$$

The last equality states that $z \in S_{cb_i}$ and (23) holds.

Suppose now $z \in S_{cb_i}$. There exists $z' \geq b_i$ such that $cz = z'z$. Since $x \preceq c \leq z'$, we have

$$cz = z'z \Rightarrow cxz = z'xz \Rightarrow cyz = z'yz.$$

Since S is right hereditary, the elements $cy, z'y$ are $\leq$-comparable.

1. If $z'y \leq cy$, then (below we use $c \preceq z'$)

   $$z'y \leq cy \Rightarrow z'yc = cy \Rightarrow z'y = cy.$$

   The last equality gives $y \in S_{cb_i}$, and (23) holds.

2. If $z'y \geq cy$, then (we use $z'y \geq b_i$) either $cy = c$ or $cy \geq b_i$. The first alternative gives $y \preceq c$. It follows that $h(y)$ does not contain new letters $t_c^{\emptyset}, t_c^{b_1}, t_c^{b_2}, \ldots, t_c^{b_k}$, and (23) holds.

   Let us put $cy \geq b_i$ then

   $$cy \geq b_i \Leftrightarrow (b_i c)y = cy \Leftrightarrow b_i y = cy \Rightarrow y \in S_{cb_i}$$

   and (23) holds.

□

Lemma 6.16. *Let $b_i, b_j \in S$ $(i \neq j)$ be elements from Modification procedure. Then the elements $h'(b_i), h'(b_j)$ are not $\leq$-comparable.*

Proof. Clearly, we have $b_i \in S_{cb_i}$, $b_j \in S_{cb_j}$, and the words $h(b_i), h(b_j)$ are $h(b_i) = h(c)aw_i$, $h(b_j) = h(c)a'w_j$ for some $w_i, w_j \in F$ and some letters a, a' (probably, $a = a'$). The images $h'(b_i), h'(b_j)$ are

$$h'(b_i) = h(c)at_c^{b_i}t'_ch'(w_i),\ h'(b_j) = h(c)a't_c^{b_j}t'_ch'(w_j),$$

and $h'(b_i), h'(b_j)$ have $\leq$-incomparable prefixes $h(c)at_c^{b_i}$, $h(c)at_c^{b_j}$. Thus, the words $h'(b_i), h'(b_j)$ are $\leq$-incomparable.

□

By the definition of h' it immediately follows the next statement.

Lemma 6.17. *If $h(x), h(y)$ are not $\leq$-comparable, so are $h'(x), h'(y)$.*

By Lemmas 6.16, 6.17, it follows

$$\ker(h) \supset \ker(h'). \tag{26}$$

Let us come back to the definition of Embedding Algorithm.

Let h'_i be the homomorphism defined by the Modification algorithm at i-th iteration of Embedding algorithm. By (26), we have

$$\ker(h) \supset \ker(h'_1) \supset \ker(h'_2) \supset \dots \tag{27}$$

Since the left regular band S is finite, the chain (27) terminates after finite steps. It means that Embedding algorithm terminates after finite number of iterations.

Lemma 6.18. *Let m be the last iteration of Embedding algorithm, then $\ker(h'_m) = \emptyset$, i.e. h'_m is an embedding of S into F'.*

Proof. Let us take distinct s_1, s_2 with $s_1 < s_2$. Lemma 6.7 gives $h(s_1) < h(s_2)$, and Lemma 6.14 provides $h'_m(s_1) < h'_m(s_2)$.

Let us take two $\leq$-incomparable elements s_1, s_2. Therefore, there exist the maximal element c with $c < s_i$, and distinct b_1, b_2 such that $b_i \leq s_i$, $\alpha(b_i) = c$.

By the termination condition of Embedding algorithm, we have that the words $h'_m(b_1), h'_m(b_2)$ are not $\leq$-comparable. Thus, the words $h'_m(s_1), h'_m(s_2)$ have incomparable prefixes $h'_m(b_1), h'_m(b_2)$, therefore $h'_m(s_1) \neq h'_m(s_2)$.

□

7 Open problems and further research

7.1 Algebraic geometry: equations with no constants. How to simplify our proof

Theorem 6.1 has a natural application in the study of equations over $\mathcal{F}$. Let us remind main definitions of universal algebraic geometry (for more details see [9, 10, 11]).

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite set of variables, and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the free left regular band generated by the set X. The elements of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are called *terms*.

An equality of two terms $t(X) = s(X)$ is called an *equation*. For example, the next expressions are equations $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$, $x_1x_2x_3 = x_3x_4$.

A set $Y \subseteq \mathcal{F}^n$ is called *algebraic* if there exists a system of equations in variables X with the solution set Y. An algebraic set is *irreducible* if it is not a proper finite union of algebraic sets.

For any algebraic set Y one can define a left regular band $\Gamma(Y)$ which is called the *coordinate band* of Y (see [9] for more details). Coordinate bands are analogues of coordinate rings in commutative algebra, so the description of all algebraic sets over $\mathcal{F}$ may be approximated by the description of their coordinate bands. Notice that any coordinate band $\Gamma(Y)$ is always finitely generated, so all $\Gamma(Y)$ over $\mathcal{F}$ are finite (the variety of left regular bands is locally finite).

In [3] submonoids of face monoids were studied. However, applying results of [9] to [3], one can easily obtain the description of all coordinate bands over $\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 7.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a finite left regular band S:*

1. *S is a coordinate band of an algebraic set over $\mathcal{F}$;*
2. *$S \in \mathrm{Qvar}(\mathcal{F})$;*
3. *S satisfies the formulas Q_n (see [4]);*
4. *S is embedded into a direct power of the semigroup $H = \{+, -, 0\}$ defined by:*

	+	−	0
+	+	+	+
−	−	−	−
0	+	−	0

Actually Theorem 7.1 was proved in [3] with the second condition $S \in \mathrm{Qvar}(H)$, but one can prove $\mathrm{Qvar}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Qvar}(H)$ and obtain the theorem above.

A coordinate band which corresponds to an irreducible algebraic set is called *irreducible*. The study of irreducible coordinate bands is important, since any algebraic set over $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite union of algebraic ones (it follows from the Noetherian property of $\mathcal{F}$, see [9] for details).

Theorem 7.1 describes *all* coordinate bands over $\mathcal{F}$, but the class of *irreducible* coordinate bands is described by the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2. *The following conditions are equivalent for a finite left regular band S:*

1. *S is a coordinate band of an irreducible algebraic set over $\mathcal{F}$;*
2. *S is discriminated by $\mathcal{F}$;*
3. *$S \in \mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$;*
4. *S is right hereditary and has a local linear order;*
5. *S is embedded into $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. The implications (1) ⇔ (2) ⇔ (3) follows from the general results of [9]. The implication (4) ⇔ (5) is the statement of Theorem 6.1.

Let us prove (2) ⇒ (5). By the definition, a band S *is discriminated by* $\mathcal{F}$ if for any finite set $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\} \subseteq S$ there exists a homomorphism $h\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$ such that $h(s_i) \neq h(s_j)$ for all $i \neq j$.

Since any finitely generated left regular band is finite, we have $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}$. By the condition, there exists a homomorphism $h\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$ which is injective on S, i.e. h is an embedding.

The implication (5) $\Rightarrow$ (2) clearly follows from the definition of the discrimination. Thus, the theorem is proved. $\square$

Let us explain the class $\mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$. Let φ be a first-order sentence (formula) of the multiplicative language $\mathcal{L} = \{\cdot\}$. A formula φ is *universal* if it is equivalent to

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \dots \forall x_n \varphi'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where φ' is quantifier-free. The *universal closure* of $\mathcal{F}$ consists of all left regular bands S such that $S \models \varphi$ for any universal formula φ with $\mathcal{F} \models \varphi$.

According to Theorem 7.2, the embedability of a finite band into $\mathcal{F}$ can be defined by a set of universal formulas. Therefore, the right hereditary and local linear order properties can be written as universal formulas. A universal formula defining the right hereditary property is simple:

$$\forall x_1, x_2, x_3 (x_1 \le x_3) \& (x_2 \le x_3) \to (x_1 \le x_2 \vee x_2 \le x_1).$$

Problem 7.3. *Find a set Σ of universal formulas in the language $\mathcal{L} = \{\cdot\}$ such that the following holds: a finite right hereditary band has a local linear order iff it satisfies Σ.*

We guess the positive solution of Problem 7.3 allows to simplify the proof of Theorem 6.1. In particular, we will be able to prove it with no the properties of the embedding ν.

7.2 Algebraic geometry: equations with constants. Advantages of the complicated proof

The current proof of Theorem 6.1 is complicated but it has an advantage. In Lemma 6.9 we found a homomorphism $h\colon S \to \mathcal{F}$ with $\sigma(h(s)) = \sigma(s)$, where $\sigma(s), \sigma(h(s)) \in \mathbf{F}$. Let us show that this homomorphism property is useful in the study of equations with constants.

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite set of variables, and $\mathcal{F}[X]$ be the free left regular band generated by $\mathcal{F}$ and X. Elements of $\mathcal{F}[X]$ are called *$\mathcal{F}$-terms*.

An equality of two $\mathcal{F}$-terms $t(X) = s(X)$ is called an *$\mathcal{F}$-equation*. For example, the next expressions are $\mathcal{F}$-equations $x_1 a_1 x_2 a_2 a_3 = a_3 x_2 a_4 a_1 x_1 a_2$, $x_1 x_2 a_1 x_3 a_2 a_3 = x_3 a_4 x_4$, $x_1 x_2 = a_1 a_2 a_3$.

One can naturally define algebraic sets and coordinate bands for systems of equations with constants. Following [9], any coordinate band of such algebraic set contains a subband isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$ (subband of constants), and any homomorphism between coordinate bands Γ_1, Γ_2 should map $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Gamma_1$ onto $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Gamma_2$ (constants are mapped into constants).

Thus, we need homomorphisms which act trivially on $\mathcal{F}$. Fortunately, the homomorphism h has the required property, and we hope h will play a crucial role in the solution of the next problem.

Problem 7.4. *Describe coordinate bands of irreducible algebraic sets over $\mathcal{F}$ defined by equations with constants.*

7.3 "Almost free" left regular bands

Following Theorem 7.2, the universal closure $\mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$ consists of right hereditary bands with a local linear order. According to model theory, $\mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$ is the "logical

neighbourhood" of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e. a structure of each $S \in \mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$ is highly close to $\mathcal{F}$. So we formulate

Problem 7.5. *Prove theorems about the structure of bands from* $\mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$ *(right hereditary bands with a local linear order) and solve uniformly algorithmic problems (e.g. the word problem) for each band from* $\mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$.

We hope this problem should be solved, since we have a similar result in group theory. In [12] it was proved the analogue of Theorem 7.2 for a free non-abelian group F.

Theorem 7.6. *The following conditions are equivalent for a finitely generated group G:*

1. *G is a coordinate group of an irreducible algebraic set over F;*
2. *G is fully residually free;*
3. *$G \in \mathrm{Ucl}(F)$;*
4. *G is embedded into Lyndon group $F^{\mathbb{Z}[t]}$.*

There are results about the structure and algorithms for fully residually groups (see [13, 14]), and it follows that all groups from $\mathrm{Ucl}(F)$ inherits many properties of the free group F. Thus, we hope all semigroups from $\mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$ have many nice properties.

7.4 Approximation with left regular bands

Many objects (e.g. hyperplane arrangements, matroids) are approximated by left regular bands (see [1]). In other words, any hyperplane arrangement H (matroid M) admits a multiplication such that H (respectively, M) becomes a left regular band. Below we shall talk only about matroids, but one can easily reformulate the following problem for hyperplane arrangements and other objects.

Let $S(M)$ be the left regular band corresponding to a matroid M. Following [1], $S(M)$ of a free matroid of rank n is the free left regular band of the same rank. Free matroids have a simple structure (the free matroid M_n of rank n is isomorphic to all subsets of n linearly independent vectors). Thus, it is interesting to describe matroids which are "almost free".

Problem 7.7. *What can we say about a matroid M with $S(M) \in \mathrm{Ucl}(\mathcal{F})$ (i.e. $S(M)$ is right hereditary with a local linear order)?*

References

[1] K. S. Brown, Semigroups, rings, and Markov chains, J. Theoret. Probab., 13(3), 2000, 871-938.

[2] S. Margolis, F. Saliola, B. Steinberg, Poset topology and homological invariants of algebras arising in algebraic combinatorics, arXiv:1311.6680.

[3] S. Margolis, F. Saliola, B. Steinberg, Semigroups embeddable in hyperplane monoids, Semigroup Forum, August 2014, Volume 89, Issue 1, pp 236-248.

[4] S. Margolis, F. Saliola, B. Steinberg, Combinatorial topology and the global dimension of algebras arising in combinatorics, J. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear.

[5] F. Saliola, The quiver of the semigroup algebra of a left regular band, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., 17(8), 2007, 1593–1610.

[6] F. Saliola, The face semigroup algebra of a hyperplane arrangement, Canad. J. Math., 61(4), 2009, 904–929.

[7] F. Saliola, The quiver of the semigroup algebra of a left regular band, Int. J. Algebra Comput., 17(8), 2007, 1593–1610.

[8] F. Saliola, The face semigroup algebra of a hyperplane arrangement, Can. J. Math. 61(4), 2009, 904–929.

[9] E. Daniyarova, A. Miasnikov, V. Remeslennikov, Unification theorems in algebraic geometry, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, 1, 2008, 80–111.

[10] E. Yu. Daniyarova, A. G. Myasnikov, V. N. Remeslennikov, Algebraic geometry over algebraic structures. II. Foundations, Fundam. Prikl. Mat., 17:1, 2012, 65–106

[11] E. Daniyarova, A. Miasnikov, V. Remeslennikov, Algebraic geometry over algebraic structures III: equationally Noetherian property and compactness, South-east Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 2011, 35:1, 35-68

[12] O. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov, Irreducible affine varieties over free group II: Systems in trangular quasi-quadratic form and description of residually free groups, J. Algebra, 200(2), 1998, 517-570.

[13] O. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov, V. Remeslennikov, D. Serbin, Subgroups of fully residually free groups: algorithmic problems, Contemp. Math. series of the AMS, Group theory, Statistics and Cryptography, 360, 2004, 63–101.

[14] I. Bumagin,O. Kharlampovich, A. Miasnikov, Isomorphism problem for fully residually free groups , J. Pure and Applied Algebra, 208 (3), 2007, 961–977.

The information of the author:
Artem N. Shevlyakov
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics
644099 Russia, Omsk, Pevtsova st. 13
Phone: +7-3812-23-25-51.
e-mail: a_shevl@mail.ru